

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of:

ACUMEN COMMUNICATIONS

Licensee of Various  
Authorizations in the  
Wireless Radio Services

Applicant for Modification  
of Various Authorizations  
in the Wireless Radio  
Services

Applicant for Renewal  
of Authorization in the  
Wireless Radio Services

WTB Docket No. 17-17

FRN: 0016049017

Application File Nos.  
0005614865, 0005834762, 0005839763,  
0005840938, 0005962267, 0006039610,  
and 0006823865

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

DATE OF HEARING: \_\_\_\_\_ March 21, 2017 \_\_\_\_\_ VOLUME: \_\_\_\_\_ 1 \_\_\_\_\_

PLACE OF HEARING: \_\_\_\_\_ WASHINGTON, D.C. \_\_\_\_\_ PAGES: \_\_\_\_\_ 1-23 \_\_\_\_\_

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, NW  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005  
TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

IN THE MATTER OF:	:	WTB Docket No.
	:	17-17
ACUMEN COMMUNICATIONS	:	FRN: 0016049017
Licensee of Various	:	Application File Nos.
Authorizations in the	:	0005614865, 0005834762,
Wireless Radio Services	:	0005839763, 0005840938,
Applicant for Modification	:	0005962267, 0006039610,
of Various Authorizations	:	and 0006823865
in the Wireless Radio	:	
Services	:	
Applicant for Renewal of	:	
Authorization in the	:	
Wireless Radio Services	:	
	:	
	:	

Volume I

Tuesday,  
March 21, 2017

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW  
Hearing Room A  
Washington, D.C. 20554

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 11:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE RICHARD L. SIPPEL,  
Chief Administrative Law Judge

## APPEARANCES:

## On Behalf of Mobile Relay Associates:

DAVID J. KAUFMAN, ESQ.  
DAVID C. O'NEIL, ESQ.  
Rini O'Neil, PC  
1200 New Hampshire, N.W.  
Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
(202) 955-5516  
(202) 955-3931  
dkaufman@rinioneil.com  
doneil@rinioneil.com

On Behalf of The Federal Communications  
Commission:

MICHAEL ENGEL, ESQ.  
PAMELA S. KANE, ESQ.  
Special Counsels  
Federal Communications Commission  
Enforcement Bureau  
Investigations & Hearings Division  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
(202) 257-6095  
(202) 418-2393  
michael.engel@fcc.gov  
pamela.kane@fcc.gov

## ALSO PRESENT:

RACHEL FUNK, Clerk

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(11:00 a.m.)

1  
2  
3 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. This is a conference, the  
4 first conference in Acumen Communications, WTB Docket Number  
5 17-17. So let me take the Notices of Appearance from the  
6 Bureau.

7 MR. ENGEL: Good morning, Your Honor, good to see  
8 you. This is Mike Engel on behalf of the Bureau. I'm joined  
9 by Pamela Kane.

10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. And Miss --

11 MS. KANE: Good Morning.

12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Good morning, Ms. Kane. Okay. And  
13 on this side of the table?

14 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, David Kaufman and David O'Neil  
15 on behalf of Mobile Relay Associates.

16 JUDGE SIPPEL: And what is Mobile Relay Associates?

17 MR. KAUFMAN: It is very significant provider of  
18 private radio services in the West, mostly California and  
19 Colorado, providing services to growing a kind of fleet  
20 dispatch.

21 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, but what are you doing here?  
22 You aren't --

23 MR. KAUFMAN: Well, you protested their application

24 --

25 MS. KANE: Your Honor --

1 MR. KAUFMAN: -- because it was going to interfere  
2 with us.

3 JUDGE SIPPEL: I know. I'm just asking a question.  
4 Why do have to be so snappy?

5 MR. KAUFMAN: I'm sorry, I'm sorry. You're right.  
6 And you know what, I shouldn't direct it to you, and I  
7 apologize. You're absolutely right.

8 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's okay, apology accepted, but  
9 just calm down.

10 MR. KAUFMAN: All right.

11 JUDGE SIPPEL: We've got a record to make here.

12 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes.

13 JUDGE SIPPEL: So are you --

14 MR. KAUFMAN: We were named as a partner.

15 JUDGE SIPPEL: Just tell me -- yes, I understand  
16 that now, okay. Who is -- and of course, the licensee is not  
17 in Court today. Is that correct?

18 MR. ENGEL: That's correct, Your Honor.

19 JUDGE SIPPEL: Has anybody been notified by the  
20 licensee in any way, shape, or form?

21 MR. ENGEL: No, Your Honor.

22 MR. KAUFMAN: No, Your Honor.

23 JUDGE SIPPEL: He's alive, I take it. I don't  
24 know. Set the record straight, he did request appointment of  
25 Counsel, because he says he's out of money. Nothing was done

1 to react to that. I hope that didn't give him some lulling  
2 feeling that it was still under consideration or something.  
3 So we won't talk about that.

4 Well, anyway, yes. My legal advisor reminds me  
5 that we did send him emails. So he's on notice. So, the  
6 question is who -- now, let me ask this question. Who is  
7 Harold Pick, P-I-C-K?

8 MR. ENGEL: Your Honor, I'm familiar with him  
9 through the email traffic that you've seen as well. I have  
10 not interacted with him outside that email traffic, Your  
11 Honor.

12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.

13 MR. ENGEL: In other words, I don't know who he is.

14 MR. KAUFMAN: I hate to -- I don't want to give  
15 testimony in a status conference.

16 JUDGE SIPPEL: You're not. You're not under oath.  
17 So don't worry about it.

18 MR. KAUFMAN: He's the guy who owns these licenses.  
19 Acumen is a front for him.

20 JUDGE SIPPEL: You're sure? I mean, you've got  
21 evidence of that?

22 MR. KAUFMAN: We have submitted evidence to that,  
23 to the Wireless Bureau, I mean, whether or not you believe it  
24 or not, or whether you think it's sufficient or not. I mean,  
25 we --

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: No, you can --

2 MR. KAUFMAN: -- you can look at petitions to deny  
3 we filed against Acumen to make that allegation.

4 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's the contention?

5 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes.

6 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Do you know anything  
7 about that?

8 MS. KANE: No, Your Honor. I mean,  
9 nothing from the documents would indicate to us who Harold  
10 Pick was in the company or whether he -- the owner under the  
11 Commission's records is Hector Mosquera, for the licenses at  
12 issue, not Harold Pick.

13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Somehow or other that name rings a  
14 bell with me. And so let me just say, nothing more than that.

15 MR. ENGEL: Your Honor, I think the only salient  
16 point regarding Mr. Pick is that it's clear that he works with  
17 or works at the licensee.

18 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, he signed --

19 MR. ENGEL: We just want that in record to  
20 establish that they, in fact, got notice. They received the  
21 HDO and they had notice that there was a proceeding involving  
22 Acumen. I mean it was clear from that email traffic and from  
23 Mr. Kaufman's representation that that's the case.

24 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. Well, then why didn't Mr. --  
25 well, I'm just saying, we might not be finished with Mr. Pick.

1 The only thing I saw in the pleadings was that he signed the  
2 certificate of service, maybe other things. But, again, he's  
3 not identifying himself at all. So that's why I was asking  
4 that question.

5 MR. KAUFMAN: He has not identified himself at all.  
6 But I might add that in the document that I believe he sent  
7 to us and to the Enforcement Bureau Counsel --

8 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.

9 MR. KAUFMAN: -- he included a document signed by  
10 Hector Mosquera, even though it never got filed. So to me  
11 it's clear that Hector Mosquera has notice of everything and  
12 that he can receive notice through Mr. Pick.

13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, obviously I'm assuming he's  
14 not the attorney, but he could be an agent or a  
15 representative.

16 MR. KAUFMAN: He's not an attorney. But you're  
17 right, he could be an agent or a representative.

18 JUDGE SIPPEL: And you think that he's a hidden  
19 principal, an undisclosed principal?

20 MR. KAUFMAN: That's correct. That's what our  
21 allegations for the Wireless Bureau in various cases have  
22 claimed. That's correct.

23 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Will you do me a favor, I'm  
24 distracted. Would you remove your bag from the table?

25 MR. KAUFMAN: I could.



1 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you so much. You know, I get  
2 a reaction to that. What does the Bureau feel about that?  
3 What's your reaction to this information?

4 MR. ENGEL: We don't think it's relevant to today's  
5 status conference. The real issue for today, Your Honor, is  
6 whether Acumen filed a timely appearance. And if not, what  
7 is the correct course of action, Your Honor?

8 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. You can't handle two issues  
9 at once?

10 MR. ENGEL: Well, I think the question -- to try  
11 and answer your question, Your Honor, the Harold Pick issue  
12 is relevant to whether Acumen got notice of this proceeding.  
13 The Commission released the HDO back on January 10th. It was  
14 published, and they served Acumen at their addresses that were  
15 on file under the Universal Licensing System.

16 On January 19th, the summary of the hearing  
17 designation order was published in the Federal Register. And  
18 then through several other communications, for example by Ms.  
19 Funk, and by the Notice of Appearance by Mobile Relay  
20 Associates, and communication from the Bureau, we were  
21 engaging with Acumen. We were copying them or emailing them  
22 directly.

23 So the point is, Your Honor, which I'm trying to  
24 make, is that they knew about this proceeding. They knew they  
25 needed to file a timely appearance with the Commission. They,

1 even to this day, have not drawn up the Notice of Appearance,  
2 for example, in ECFS or filed one, I believe, with Your Honor  
3 or with the Commission secretary, as they're supposed to do.  
4 They're a long-time Commission licensee.

5           So there's no timely notice of appearance filed.  
6 And I think that we're asking that this hearing just be  
7 reduced, as it were, to a decision on what the next steps are  
8 for the case. But I don't have any information about Harold  
9 Pick. And I don't have any documentation to present to the  
10 Court, Your Honor.

11           JUDGE SIPPEL: I know I've heard that name. This  
12 is from -- I'm going to ask, I guess, will you be called to  
13 intervene. Isn't that what you call them.

14           MR. KAUFMAN: I guess so.

15           JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.

16           MS. KANE: We were actually named in the HDO, Your  
17 Honor.

18           JUDGE SIPPEL: You are named?

19           MS. KANE: As a third party. Yes, Your Honor.

20           MR. KAUFMAN: We had a pending petition to deny  
21 against all the applications that are listed in the HDO. So  
22 we were automatically made a party, since we had already filed  
23 petitions to the matter.

24           JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Do you have any facts or  
25 theories to why Mr. Mosquera is not here today?

1 MR. KAUFMAN: I have theories, yes.

2 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, let's start with facts.

3 MR. KAUFMAN: Facts would be that Harold Pick has  
4 a history of not showing up at hearings. That's why, for  
5 example, I understand that he's got -- that Motorola has a  
6 multi-million dollar judgement against him that was a default  
7 won in the state courts of California, and that he really  
8 doesn't generally recognize government or the rule of law.

9 And as far as Hector Mosquera, it's not like he has  
10 a lot at stake here for the reasons that we contend in our  
11 petitions to deny. That's not his license anyway. Why does  
12 he care?

13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's what I'm -- that's  
14 what's bothering me. There are 50-some odd approval motives  
15 in the applications for -- but most of it is -- the licenses  
16 are the big bulk of the notice and anything -- even if they're  
17 worth \$5 apiece, they're worth something. How much are those  
18 properties worth? Do you have any idea?

19 MR. KAUFMAN: I would probably have to ask my  
20 client to get a really good fix.

21 JUDGE SIPPEL: Do your best.

22 MR. KAUFMAN: Do my best. I'm going to be  
23 conservative and put it on the low end, okay. But a shared  
24 license is worth less, but to the extent you have an exclusive  
25 license and they're in LA, they're going to be worth about a

1 hundred grand.

2 JUDGE SIPPEL: Apiece?

3 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes. Per channel, per channel, not  
4 per call size.

5 JUDGE SIPPEL: So my five bucks doesn't make it  
6 then?

7 MR. KAUFMAN: No. You know, and if I'm wrong then  
8 they're only \$50,000 apiece --

9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's okay, you --

10 MR. KAUFMAN: -- then whatever.

11 JUDGE SIPPEL: You've gotten me where I want to be.

12 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes. I mean, if you look at the  
13 email traffic that I think you were copied on, you know, I  
14 mean, the guy talks out of both sides of his mouth. He says,  
15 well, we have no money, and this, and that. But, you know,  
16 and then in another paragraph he says, you know, these  
17 licenses are worth a lot to us and we can't afford to loose  
18 them. So, you know, what --

19 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, he's not here to reply, so I'm  
20 not going to give any credence -- I will give credence to it  
21 but, I mean, it's not significant. In fact, you've told me  
22 the significant information is two things. The fact that Mr.  
23 Pick -- your allegation that Mr. Pick has an interest in it,  
24 a principal's interest in these stations. And the second is  
25 is that they're a valuable stations. So do you have a

1 question? Where do you we go from here?

2 MR. ENGEL: I do not, Your Honor.

3 MR. KAUFMAN: I agree with the Bureau. All of  
4 this, it's interesting, but it's really more important for our  
5 friends here at the Bureau to go back to their colleagues and  
6 say maybe we should start a second proceeding and do this and  
7 that.

8 I agree with the Bureau that for today it's not  
9 relevant. Today it's just what was in the HDO and should we  
10 default these guys? And if they are defaulted under Paragraph  
11 19, do we move forward with dismissing the applications, and  
12 revoking the licenses, and then the other to another hearing,  
13 another day that designated separate?

14 MR. ENGEL: Sometimes it's dangerous to do that for  
15 the Government, Your Honor.

16 JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, you better believe I know it.  
17 But I --

18 (Simultaneous speaking.)

19 JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm weighing, you know, if you take  
20 half of loaf, you know. Sometimes if you say well, let's wait  
21 on revoking the licenses, and they never get revoked, and they  
22 sit there, you know.

23 (off the record comments.)

24 JUDGE SIPPEL: Here's what I'm ready to do. I'm  
25 not ready to take the final plunge. But I'm going to issue

1 an order to show cause as to why he's not here and to have him  
2 or some other person identify -- have Mr. Pick identify  
3 himself with -- in other words, I want to find out who Mr.  
4 Pick is, what his interests are, and if he ever responds to  
5 it.

6 And then we'll take it from there. Because right  
7 now it's an active case. And Pick is on a par -- he's not a  
8 target. But there might be a way of making him that,  
9 depending on what his answers are.

10 I don't have any axe to grind against Mr. Pick.  
11 But I'm just left with this vacuum that makes me nervous. Let  
12 me ask you, let me ask the Bureau. Do you have any objection  
13 to that? Do you see --

14 MR. ENGEL: Your Honor, Mr. Pick is not the owner  
15 in ULS. I don't even know if he's an officer of the company.  
16 So what I was wondering when I saw the email from Mr. Pick,  
17 Your Honor, which is not, by the way, it's not a filing of an  
18 appearance in any way, shape, or form.

19 JUDGE SIPPEL: No, no, no.

20 MR. ENGEL: That email -- was he trying to be pro  
21 se, because as a corporation, I don't know if they can do  
22 that. And they should be appearing through Counsel. But if  
23 he's an officer of the company, maybe there's an argument to  
24 make.

25 But we don't know any of that information, Your



1 Honor. Because they didn't file an appearance in the case.  
2 I mean, it's a simple lock step process. The HDO comes out,  
3 they have X number of days to file a timely appearance. They  
4 didn't file that appearance.

5 They received further notice through all the  
6 previously described communications. They still didn't file  
7 a notice of appearance. It's nowhere, it's not in ECFS, it's  
8 not -- none of us have it. They haven't appeared in this  
9 case. And the HDO, Your Honor, has been self-executed.  
10 Paragraph 19 said that if they fail to file a timely  
11 appearance, the application shall be dismissed. And Your  
12 Honor will certify it to the Commission.

13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I know it should be pretty  
14 simple. But in a case like this, the facts can get kind of  
15 fuzzy as to who did what to who when it comes to service. And  
16 I'm trying to avoid submitting a petition to reopen or  
17 something you have to deal with down the road. And I think  
18 an order to show cause would do that.

19 If he can't respond to that, or if he responds to  
20 it in a way that's really completely unconvincing, then I  
21 would view the record to be more complete than it is now,  
22 notwithstanding what you say, which is absolutely true.

23 MR. ENGEL: If I could inquire, Your Honor, what  
24 would the order to show cause be asking the licensee to do?

25 JUDGE SIPPEL: Ask the licensee why he has not

1 entered his notice of appearance yet. Why does this -- you  
2 know, he's going to say, well, he might say that, well, I'm  
3 too poor to participate, and I don't have a lawyer, and you  
4 don't give me a lawyer, something like that.

5 But I want to also use it as a vehicle to find out  
6 a little bit more about Mr. Pick. I mean, I'm not -- I don't  
7 know. I just want to leave it there. I just want to leave  
8 it there.

9 But eventually this case is going to get dismissed,  
10 no doubt about that, unless I hear something unusual from the  
11 other side. But I just think that I hate to leave a record  
12 like this where, you know, you've got something coming in the  
13 case and then, to that extent, you don't even know who the  
14 heck he is.

15 I think I have an obligation to the public and to  
16 the Commission to do that much. You know, whatever the facts  
17 show later, that's what it is. But you say -- oh, but that's  
18 a different day, a different place or a different day. But  
19 you say, sir, that -- your name again, sorry?

20 MR. KAUFMAN: David Kaufman.

21 JUDGE SIPPEL: David Kaufman. I've seen your  
22 picture. You say that, in your petition to deny, that you  
23 have this laid it out as far as Pick's ownership. Is that  
24 right?

25 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes. We've alleged that in the past.

1 We've alleged that not only for, you know, this company but  
2 for another one that started applying when the Wireless Bureau  
3 stopped processing, pending the Acumen application.

4 But I think I want to backup what Bureau Counsel  
5 said which is there's a pretty good record that they've had  
6 notice. They have certain obligations that if you put your  
7 name, and your address, and your email into an application,  
8 and if you put your contact representative's name, and  
9 address, and email, and fax number into applications and on  
10 licenses, the Commission is entitled to rely on that.

11 And we haven't even discussed the fact that they  
12 use an unaffiliated application preparation company for all  
13 their applications and on all their licenses, which is Cara  
14 Enterprises. And Cara Enterprises has gotten all the service  
15 of everything, email, regular mail, facsimile.

16 And if Cara Enterprises hasn't passed it on, or has  
17 passed it on, whatever, it's not the Commission's problem.  
18 It's not the Commission's fault. They have constructive  
19 notice of all of this. And the Commission, as an agency, no  
20 agency could function if people are allowed to flout the rule  
21 that says the address I gave you is the address you can find  
22 me at. If that rule, which is so basic to administrative law,  
23 goes out the window, how do you run a government?

24 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's a very broad question.  
25 I'm not concerned about that. I think that there is a basis

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 right now -- oh, God --

2 (off the record comments.)

3 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. I mean, there is a basis.  
4 Right now on the record there is a basis that Mr. Engel laid  
5 out for this specific case. But I do have this bug about Mr.  
6 Pick.

7 I mean, I would not intend to find, somehow or  
8 other, to incorporate him into the case as a Defendant or  
9 Respondent and, you know, go so far as to be taking evidence  
10 as it concerns me.

11 They will succeed at that. The Bureau will have  
12 an effect that they would be able to make a decision as to  
13 whether or not to, you know, issue another hearing  
14 designation. If Mr. Pick is anything -- if he's not  
15 registered with the Commission, but you're saying he's an  
16 undisclosed principal. That would make him a licensee,  
17 undisclosed licensee.

18 MR. KAUFMAN: It would, but if I can just respond  
19 to this, and again, I just think Bureau Counsel has it right.  
20 I understand that you have an obligation, as you said, to ask  
21 about Mr. Pick. But with all due respect, you have fulfilled  
22 that obligation.

23 There's a sentence, and I believe it's the Status  
24 Conference Hearing, you know, the notice to come here, where  
25 all the questions you said you're going to ask in the next

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 show cause order you already asked them.

2           And I think, and now I'm speculating, but I think  
3 the reason we haven't heard a peep out of them, since you  
4 issued that status conference order, is that whenever somebody  
5 asks that, Harold Pick goes back into the shell, and they stop  
6 responding. And your proposed order to show cause is just  
7 going to be redundant of what you already did so well. And  
8 you're not going to get a response to it. And it's just going  
9 to delay things.

10           JUDGE SIPPEL: But I don't know that. You're  
11 surmising that.

12           MR. KAUFMAN: I am surmising that.

13           JUDGE SIPPEL: I just think it's worth a stamp.

14           MR. ENGEL: Your Honor, I -- if I could be heard?

15           JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, sure. Go ahead.

16           MR. ENGEL: I recognize Your Honor's being merciful  
17 today. And that's a good thing, always. But I think maybe  
18 this calls for a little tough love, Your Honor. There's a  
19 vacuum across the hearing room where Acumen is supposed to be.

20           JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.

21           MR. ENGEL: Rather than in Your Honor's show cause  
22 order to do this on the papers, set it for a show cause  
23 hearing. If they appear and in person, they present a case  
24 about why they couldn't simply file an electronic notice of  
25 appearance on the Commission's ECFS or otherwise, and some

1 rational explanation for that, then maybe we move out in a  
2 different direction.

3 But they flout -- Your Honor is here, esteemed  
4 Counsel is here. This is not a small deal, to bring everybody  
5 to the Commission. They didn't show.

6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you -- Mr. Kaufman, are you  
7 local?

8 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, I'm here in DC.

9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.

10 MR. KAUFMAN: And by the way, he's with my firm,  
11 or I'm with his firm, whatever. We're at the same firm. But  
12 there's no reason that Acumen couldn't have retained DC  
13 Counsel.

14 JUDGE SIPPEL: No, that's -- I understand all that.  
15 I mean, that's irrelevant. But I want to focus on what Mr.  
16 Engel's saying. So you think a hearing on a show cause order  
17 would be more effective than just a piece of paper?

18 MR. ENGEL: I think -- and at that hearing, Your  
19 Honor could rule from the bench. If there's not a rational  
20 explanation or they simply don't appear, Your Honor can rule  
21 on the bench on the issue of Paragraph 19, whether dismissal  
22 is appropriate. I don't think we need to go beyond that  
23 hearing date, and pay for this case anymore.

24 JUDGE SIPPEL: Fine. Do you agree with that? Do  
25 you have an objection to it? What's your position,



1 Government?

2 MR. KAUFMAN: We would go along with what the  
3 Bureau Counsel just suggested. We'd rather have it today, but  
4 if we can't get it today, we're going to go with that rather  
5 than raise a fuss.

6 MR. ENGEL: And if Your Honor is inclined to go  
7 that route, we do have some dates upcoming where Ms. Kane and  
8 I are going to be out of the country. We'll coordinate with  
9 the Respondent on scheduling, if that's amenable to Your  
10 Honor.

11 JUDGE SIPPEL: Why is everybody out of the country?

12 MR. ENGEL: I'm going to a wedding, and --

13 MS. KANE: I'm getting married.

14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Congratulations.

15 MS. KANE: No, he's not going to my wedding, but  
16 --

17 MR. ENGEL: It's on the official Commission  
18 records, Your Honor.

19 MS. KANE: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 JUDGE SIPPEL: Congratulations. Now get something  
21 nice for the table. Okay. We will -- all right, well, we'll  
22 talk about dates then and take it from there. And I think  
23 that's an excellent suggestion. And I think that will put a  
24 final rest to it. And I'll do the best I can.

25 I would like to do this though, since we're going

1 to see each other again. You said to me again your file, your  
2 petition against him being granted these licenses or whatever  
3 it was --

4 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes.

5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Did you tell me that you laid out  
6 or you have a section there concerning Mr. Pick?

7 MR. KAUFMAN: I have to go back and look and see  
8 what I have in there. But I believe that we did. Hang on,  
9 let me see if it says so in here. That's a good point, Dave.  
10 Some of these things have been pending for a long time. We've  
11 been waiting for relief for a long time. I think I'm going  
12 to go back and check. It may well be that, once we found out  
13 that Mr. Mosquera was a convicted felon, we thought that was  
14 the easiest path.

15 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, if you come back, then we can  
16 just check the records --

17 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes.

18 JUDGE SIPPEL: -- if you have a file with the  
19 Commission that lays out that situation about Mr. Pick and his  
20 involvement in this corporation.

21 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes. Between 2014 and 2015, we filed  
22 about four or five pleadings. And I'm going to go back and  
23 look at them.

24 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.

25 MR. KAUFMAN: Because I'm getting old, and I'm, you

1 know, I get sometimers.

2 JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't know the half of it.

3 (Laughter.)

4 JUDGE SIPPEL: But what I'm mentioning is something  
5 that's actually filed with the Commission. I don't want  
6 something outside this --

7 MR. KAUFMAN: Yes, yes, yes, yes.

8 JUDGE SIPPEL: And it will, I mean, I would  
9 appreciate that.

10 MR. KAUFMAN: I will look for anything that's filed  
11 -- on file at the Commission that you can pull from ULS.  
12 That's where I'm going to look.

13 JUDGE SIPPEL: And whatever gets sent to me, send  
14 it to Counsel --

15 MR. KAUFMAN: And send it to --

16 JUDGE SIPPEL: -- and also send it to Mr. Pick and  
17 to Mr. --

18 MR. KAUFMAN: Absolutely.

19 JUDGE SIPPEL: -- Mosquera. How do you spell --

20 MR. KAUFMAN: Mosquera.

21 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. Okay. I don't think we have  
22 anything more to talk about, have we?

23 MR. ENGEL: That's all for us, Your Honor.

24 MR. KAUFMAN: That's all from us, Your Honor.

25 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Now I'm just taken aback at

1 this point. Okay. It's -- never mind what time it is. We're  
2 in adjournment. Until further order, we're in adjournment.  
3 Did I say that right? Until further order, we are in  
4 adjournment. Thank you.

5 MR. KAUFMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Off the record.

7 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the  
8 record at 11:31 a.m.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Acumen Communications

Before: Federal Communications Commission

Date: 03-21-17

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under  
my direction; further, that said transcript is a  
true and accurate record of the proceedings.

*Neal R. Gross*

-----  
Court Reporter

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER**

Acumen Communications

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Hearing

WTB DOCKET NO. 17-17

\_\_\_\_\_  
Docket No. (if applicable)

445 12<sup>th</sup> STREET, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Place of Hearing

March 21, 2017

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Hearing

We, the undersigned, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 23, inclusive, are the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the reporting by \_\_\_\_\_ James Hassett in attendance at the above identified hearing, in accordance with applicable provisions of the current Federal Communications Commission's professional verbatim reporting and transcription statement of Work and have verified the accuracy of the transcript by (1) comparing the typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the hearings and (2) comparing the final proofed typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the hearing or conference.

March 31, 2017

James Hassett

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date Legible Name and Signature of Reporter  
Name of Company: \_\_Neal Gross Co.\_\_\_\_\_

March 31, 2017

Terri McNulty

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date Legible Name and Signature of Transcriber  
Name of Company: \_\_Neal Gross Co.\_\_\_\_\_

March 31, 2017

Ayanna Reese

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader  
Name of Company: \_\_Neal Gross Co.\_\_\_\_\_